MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED 2004 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT REPORT

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
IAN BOWLES, SECRETARY
MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
LAURIE BURT, COMMISSIONER
BUREAU OF RESOURCE PROTECTION
ARLEEN O'DONNELL, ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
DIVISION OF WATERSHED MANAGEMENT
GLENN HAAS, DIRECTOR



NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY

LIMITED COPIES OF THIS REPORT ARE AVAILABLE AT NO COST BY WRITTEN REQUEST TO:

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATERSHED MANAGEMENT 627 MAIN STREET WORCESTER, MA 01608

This report is also available from the MassDEP's home page on the World Wide Web at:

http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/resources/wgassess.htm

Furthermore, electronic copies of each report by this office are submitted to the State Library at the State House in Boston; these copies may be subsequently distributed as follows:

- On shelf; retained at the State Library;
- microfilmed; retained at the State Library;
- delivered to the Boston Public Library at Copley Square;
- delivered to the Worcester Public Library;
- delivered to the Springfield Public Library;
- delivered to the University Library at UMass, Amherst;
- delivered to the Library of Congress in Washington, D.C.

This wide circulation is augmented by inter-library loans from the above-listed libraries. For example, a resident in Needham can apply at their local library for loan of any MA DEP/DWM report from the Worcester Public Library.

A complete list of reports published since 1963 is updated annually and printed in July. This report, entitled, "Publications of the Massachusetts Division of Watershed Management – Watershed Planning Program, 1963-(current year)", is also available by writing to the Division of Watershed Management (DWM) in Worcester.

DISCLAIMER

References to trade names, commercial products, manufacturers, or distributors in this report constituted neither endorsement nor RECOMMENDATIONS: by the Division of Watershed Management for use.

i

MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED 2004-2009 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT REPORT

Prepared by:

James Meek and Laurie Kennedy Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Division of Watershed Management

Report Number: 84-AC-2

DWM Control Number:

CN179.5

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Division of Watershed Management Worcester, Massachusetts

January 2010

Acknowledgements

Coordination of local, state and federal agencies and private organizations is fundamental to the success of protecting and restoring water quality in Massachusetts Watersheds. Data and information used in this report was provided in part by the following agencies and organizations:

State

Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP):

Bureau of Resource Protection (BRP)

Division of Watershed Management (DWM)

Bureau of Strategic Policy and Technology Wall Experiment Station (WES)

Department of Conservation and Recreation (MA DCR)

Department of Fish and Game (MA DFG)

Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (MDFW)

Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF)

Department of Public Health (MA DPH)

Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA)

Federal

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) United States Geological Survey (USGS)

Water Resources Division (WRS)

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)

Regional

Lake Attittash Association (LAA)

Private

CDM

It is impossible to thank everyone who contributed to the assessment report process: field, laboratory, data management, writing, editing, and graphics, as well as meetings, phone calls, and e-mails. All of these contributions are very much appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	
List of Tables	
List of Figures Attached Data CD – Compendium of MassDEP DWM Merrimack River Technical Memorandums and	
·	
Reports Executive Summary	
Introduction	
Massachusetts Integrated List Of Waters	
Merrimack River Watershed Description	
Objectives	
Assessment Report Format	
Special Notes	
Literature cited	
South Branch Souhegan (Segment MA84A-31)	5
Martins Pond Brook (Segment MA84A-19)	
Joint Grass Brook (Segment MA84A-32)	
Salmon Brook (Segment MA84A-33)	
Merrimack River (Segment MA84A-01)	
Bridge Meadow Brook (Segment MA84A-34)	
Lawrence Brook (Segment MA84A-20)	
Deep Brook (Segment MA84A-21)	
Unnamed Tributary "Reedy Meadow Brook" (Segment MA84B-01)	14
Tadmuck Brook (Segment MA84B-07)	
Bennetts Brook (Segment MA84B-06)	
Stony Brook (Segment MA84B-04)	
Reed Brook (Segment MA84B-08)	
Black Brook (Segment MA84A-17)	
Merrimack River (Segment MA84A-02)	
Peppermint Brook (Segment MA84A-35)	
Beaver Brook (Segment MA84A-11)	
Merrimack River (Segment MA84A-03)	
Richardson Brook (Segment MA84A-12)	
Trout Brook (Segment MA84A-13)	
Trull Brook (Segment MA84A-14)	
Bartlett Brook (Segment MA84A-36)	
Fish Brook (Segment MA84A-40)	
Merrimack River (Segment MA84A-04)	34
Spicket River (Segment MA84A-10)	
Bare Meadow Brook (Segment MA84A-18)	
Creek Brook (Segment MA84A-37)	38
Merrimack River (Segment MA84A-05)	
Little River (Segment MA84A-09)	
Johnson Creek (Segment MA84A-15)	
Unnamed Tributary (AKA Argilla Brook) (Segment MA84A-38)	
East Meadow River (Segment MA84A-39)	
Cobbler Brook (Segment MA84A-22)	
Powwow River (Segment MA84A-25)	
Unnamed Tributary (Segment MA84A-30)	
Back River (Segment MA84A-16)	
Powwow River (Segment MA84A-08)	
Merrimack River (Segment MA84A-06)	
Merrimack River (Segment MA84A-26)	
Plum Island River (Segment MA84A-27)	
Lowell Canals (Segment MA84A-29)	55

Lake Attitash (Segment MA84002)	56
Chadwicks Pond (Segment MA84006)	
Lake Cochichewick (Segment MA84008)	57
Crystal Lake (Segment MA84010)	58
Flint Pond (Segment MA84012)	
Forest Lake (Segment MA84014)	
Forge Pond (Segment MA84015)	
Haggetts Pond (Segment MA84022)	
Hoveys Pond (Segment MA84025)	62
Johnsons Pond (Segment MA84027)	
Kenoza Lake (Segment MA84028)	63
Knops Pond/Lost Lake (Segment MA84084)	
Long Pond (Segment MA84032)	65
Lake Mascuppic (Segment MA84037)	
Massapoag Pond (Segment MA84087)	
Millvale Reservoir (Segment MA84041)	
Newfield Pond (Segment MA84046)Lake Pentucket (Segment MA84051)	
Lake Saltonstall (Segment MA84059)	
Spectacle Pond (Segment MA84089)	
Stevens Pond (Segment MA84064)	
Nabnasset Pond (Segment MA84044)	
Locust Pond (Segment MA84031)	
Data Sources	
Appendix A - Assessment Methodology	
Appendix B – Summary of NPDES Permitting Information	
Appendix C – Summary of Monitoring Site Locations	100
Long on Toronto	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. Percentage of total river miles (391 miles), lake acreage (5734 acres) and estuarine area square miles) in the Merrimack River basin assessed as support, impaired, or not assessed for each square miles.	ach use.
Table 2. An example of the table format used to present assessment information in the 2004 Mer River Watershed Assessment Report.	rrimack
·	
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1. Aquatic Life Use assessment summary for rivers, estuarine, and lake segments in the Merrimack River watershed	v
Figure 2. Fish Consumption Use assessment summary for rivers, estuarine, and lake segments	
Merrimack River watershed	
Figure 3. Shellfishing Use assessment summary for estuarine segments in the Merrimack River	
watershed	xiv
Figure 4. Primary Contact Recreational Use assessment summary for rivers, estuarine, and lake	
segments in the Merrimack River watershed	
Figure 5. Secondary Contact Recreational Use assessment summary for rivers, estuarine, and la	
segments in the Merrimack River watershed	
Figure 6. Aesthetics Use assessment summary for rivers, estuarine, and lake segments in the	
Merrimack River watershed	XX

ATTACHED DATA CD – COMPENDIUM OF MASSDEP DWM MERRIMACK RIVER TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS AND REPORTS

Merrimack River Watershed 2004 Water Quality Assessment Report
Technical Memorandum TM-84-5 Merrimack River Watershed 2004 Water Quality
Technical Memorandum TM-84-6 Merrimack River Watershed 2004 Benthic Macroinvertebrate
Assessment

Technical Memorandum 84-7 2004 Merrimack River Watershed Fish Population Assessment Technical Memorandum 84-7 2004 Merrimack and French & Quinebaug Periphyton Study - Stream Velocity and Canopy Cover Considerations

Technical Memorandum TM S-16 Baseline Lake Survey 2003 Technical Memorandum (Excerpt)

*Segments not included in the report due to insufficient data to assess any of the uses.

Beaver Brook (MA84B-05) Beaver Brook (MA84B-02) Stony Brook (MA84B-03) Powwow River (MA84A-28) Bailey Pond (MA84003) Mill Pond (MA84038) Mill Pond (MA84081) Mill Pond (MA84039) Uptons Pond (MA84075) Ward Pond (MA84096)

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

surface water quality standards	SWQS	Safe Drinking Water Act	SDWA
Waterbody System	WBS	New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission	NEIWPCC
Assessment Database	ADB	Massachusetts Department of Public Health	MA DPH
National Hydrography Dataset	NHD	rapid bioassessment protocol	RBP
Clean Water Act	CWA	Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game	MA DFG
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	EPA	milligrams per liter	mg/L
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection	MassDEP	micrograms per liter	ug/L
total maximum daily load	TMDL	milliliter	ml
Division of Watershed Management	DWM	Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries	MA DMF
combined sewer overflows	CSO	sanitary sewer overflow	SSO
dissolved oxygen	DO	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	NPDES
		Liiiiiiiddon Oystoni	
colony forming units	CFU	water pollution control facility	WPCF

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED 2003 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT REPORT

The Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards (SWQS) designate the most sensitive uses for which surface waters in the state shall be protected. The assessment of current water quality conditions is a key step in the successful implementation of the Watershed Approach. This critical phase provides an assessment of whether or not the designated uses are supported or impaired, or not assessed, as well as basic information needed to focus resource protection and remediation activities later in the watershed management planning process.

This report presents a summary of current water quality data/information in the Merrimack River watershed used to assess the status of the designated uses as defined in the SWQS. The designated uses, where applicable, include: Aquatic Life, Fish Consumption, Drinking Water, Primary and Secondary Contact Recreation and Aesthetics. Each use, within a given assessment segment, is individually assessed as **support** or **impaired**. When too little current data/information exists or no reliable data are available for an assessment segment the use is **not assessed**. However, if there is some indication of water quality impairment, which is not "naturally-occurring", the use is identified with an "Alert Status". Some rivers and lakes do not have an assigned assessment segment identification number and the status of their designated uses has never been assessed, investigated, and/or reported to the EPA in the Commonwealth's Summary of Water Quality Report (305(b) Report) nor is information on these waters maintained in the Assessment Database (ADB). In the interest of reporting on all river miles and lake acres in the Merrimack River watershed, any waters not currently assigned an assessment segment identification number are classified as **not assessed other waters**.

The summary of the assessments for the *Aquatic Life, Fish Consumption, Shellfishing, Primary and Secondary Contact Recreation and Aesthetics* uses in the Merrimack River watershed segments are illustrated in Figures 1 through 6, respectively. The percentage of total river miles, lake acreage and estuarine area classified as impaired, support, and not assessed for each designated use are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Percentage of total river miles (391 miles), lake acreage (5734 acres) and estuarine area (6.7 square miles) in the Merrimack River basin assessed as support, impaired, or not assessed for each use. (National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) 1:24,000 is the source for the total river miles and lake acreage calculations)

	River			Lakes			Estuaries		
Use	Support	Impaired	Not Assessed ¹	Support	Impaired	Not Assessed ¹	Support	Impaired	Not Assessed
Aquatic Life	15.5%	3.3%	81.2%	0.0%	21.1%	78.9%	94.0%	0.0%	6.0%
Fish Consumption	0.0%	6.6%	93.4%	0.0%	53.9%	46.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100%
Shellfishing	Not Applicable						0.0%	72.0%	28.0%
Drinking Water	Not Assessed in this Report ²				Not Appli	icable			
Primary Contact	6.3%	20.8%	72.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	0.0%	95.5%	4.5%
Secondary Contact	17.1%	10.0%	72.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	68.6%	26.9%	4.5%
Aesthetics	19.3%	2.5%	78.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	0.04%	0.0%	99.96%

^{1 -} Not Assessed includes river or lakes not assigned assessment segments or not assessed other waters.

^{2 -} While this use is not assessed in this report, information on drinking water source protection and finish water quality is available at http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/drinking.htm and from local public water suppliers.

In 2004, MassDEP DWM recorded field observations regarding aesthetics at one site (W1203). There were no field observations indicating prolonged or frequent occurences of objectionable deposits, odors, turbidity or color, floating scum, or overabundant growths of aquatic plants or algae. The *Aesthetics Use* is assessed as support.

Data Sources: 9, 17

Monitoring Recommendations

Conduct additional bacteria monitoring to characterize the impairment and identify unknown sources.

MERRIMACK RIVER (SEGMENT MA84A-05)

Segment Description: Confluence Little River, Haverhill to confluence Indian River, West

Newbury/Amesbury.

Segment Length: 1.8 Square Miles

Segment Classification: SB, CSO, Shellfishing

2008 Integrated List of Waters: This segment is on the 2008 Integrated List of Waters in Category 5 -

Waters Requiring a TMDL (Priority organics, Pathogens).

NPDES Permits: City of Haverhill Wastewater Division (MA0101621), Haverhill Paperboard Corp.

(MAG250961), Town of Merrimac (MA0101150)

Designated Use	Use Assessment	Alert
Aquatic Life	Support	Yes

In 2003, CDM collected eight total phosphorus and six chlorophyll-a (phytoplankton) samples at two sites (M024, M025) (See Special Note 2). The total phosphorus concentrations ranged from 0.062 to 0.095 mg/L and the chlorophyll-a concentrations ranged from 2.2 to 28.6 μ g/L. Water from the Merrimack River was collected from the Route 125 bridge (Basiliere Bridge) in Haverhill for use as dilution water in the Haverhill WPAF whole effluent toxicity tests. Between June 2001 and April 2009 survival of P. promelas exposed (48 hours) to the river was > 95% (n=31 test events). Water from the Merrimack River just upstream from its confluence with Cobbler Brook in Merrimac was also collected for use as dilution water in the Merrimac WWTP's whole effluent toxicity tests. Between November 2001 and July 2008 survival of M. bahia and M. beryllina exposed (48-hours) to the river water was > 93% (n= 14 and 12 test events, respectively). The *Aquatic Life Use* is assessed as support based primarily on the good survival of test organisms exposed to river water samples in this segment of the river. An Alert Status is identified for this use due to occasionally elevated chlorophyll-a concentrations.

Data Sources: 3, 7

Fish Consumption	Not Assessed
------------------	--------------

This waterbody does not have a site-specific fish consumption advisory. All applicable statewide fish consumption advisories issued by MA DPH due to mercury contamination apply to this waterbody (See Special Note 4).

DMF does not classify any shellfishing areas in this segment so the Shellfishing Use is not assessed.

Primary Contact

Impaired

In 2008, MRWA collected E.coli samples at three sites (19.1, 17.8, 16.8) and Enterococcus at two sites (14.1, 10.6). The geometric means of the samples collected at each site during the primary contact season ranged from 107.2 CFU/100ml to 124.3 CFU/100ml for the E. coli sites and 31.8CFU/100ml to 39.2 CFU/100ml for the Enterococcus sites. In 2003, CDM collected Enterococcus samples at two sites (M024, M025) (See Special Note 1). Neither site had the minimum number of samples (5) required to determine compliance with the Enterococcus geometric mean criterion, however five out of eight counts at the two sites exceeded 104 colonies/100ml. Based on the CDM and MRWA results violating the Enterococcus geometric mean criterion (35 CFU/100ml), the *Primary Contact Recreational Use* is assessed as impaired. Bacteria was elevated during both dry and wet weather conditions and the highest counts represented wet weather sampling. NOTE: Between June 2000 and July 2006 \$20.1 Million has been invested to increase capacity at the Haverhill WWTP to capture over 97% of all combined flows including modifications at the WWTP and design and construction of miscellaneous improvements at CSO structures.

Cause(s) of Impairment: Enterococcus

Source(s) of Impairment: Wet Weather Discharges (Point Source and Combination of Stormwater,

SSO or CSO), Source Unknown

Data Sources: 3, 25

Secondary Contact

Impaired

In 2008, MRWA collected E.coli samples at three sites (19.1, 17.8, 16.8) and Enterococcus at two sites (14.1, 10.6). The geometric means of the samples collected at each site ranged from 107.2 CFU/100ml to 124.3 CFU/100ml for the E. coli sites and 31.8CFU/100ml to 39.2 CFU/100ml for the Enterococcus sites. In 2003, CDM collected Enterococcus samples at two sites (M024, M025) (See Special Note 1). Neither CDM site had the minimum number of samples (5) required to determine compliance with the Enterococcus geometric mean criterion 175 colonies/100ml), however four out of eight counts at the two sites exceeded 350 colonies/100ml so the *Secondary Contact Recreational Use* is assessed as impaired. Bacteria was elevated during both dry and wet weather conditions and the highest counts represented wet weather sampling and were more frequently detected at the upstream sampling location. NOTE: Between June 2000 and July 2006 \$20.1 Million has been invested to increase capacity at the Haverhill WWTP to capture over 97% of all combined flows including modifications at the WWTP and design and construction of miscellaneous improvements at CSO structures.

Cause(s) of Impairment: Enterococcus

Source(s) of Impairment: Wet Weather Discharges (Point Source and Combination of Stormwater,

SSO or CSO), Source Unknown

Data Sources: 3, 25

Aesthetics

Not Assessed

Insufficient data were available to assess the Aesthetics Use.

Monitoring Recommendations

Conduct bacteria monitoring to evaluate if recent upgrades to the Haverhill WWTP and CSO structures have improved water quality.